

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/637,127	08/08/2003	Nachappa Gopalsami	ANL-IN-02-083 3832	
7590 04/19/2006			EXAMINER	
Joan Pennington			LEVKOVICH, NATALIA A	
Unit #1804 535 North Michigan Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60611			1743	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/637,127	GOPALSAMI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Natalia Levkovich	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Fe</u>	<u>ebruary 2006</u> .					
,	, 					
·	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	· ·					
4) Claim(s) 2-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-20 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 2-20 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendments and remarks dated 02/06/2006 have been acknowledged by the Examiner and entered. Claim 1 has been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action.
- 3. Claims 2 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by any of Nagata et al. (US 6496018) or Tews et al. (US 5397993).

 See the appropriate paragraphs of the prior Office Action.
- 4. Claims 2 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Scott (US 20020005725).

See the appropriate paragraphs of the prior Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scott in view of Lautenschlager (US 5382414).

See the appropriate paragraphs of the prior Office Action.

Application/Control Number: 10/637,127 Page 3

Art Unit: 1743

6. Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scott

in view of Madaras et al. (US 20030012867).

See the appropriate paragraphs of the prior Office Action.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments dated 02/06/2006 have been fully considered but they are

not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the prior art does not suggest the use of 'dielectric resonance pattern shift method for detecting solids. Examiner disagrees. Nagata a method based on resonant frequency shift and employed for detecting not only liquids, but for solid substances as well, namely, for "sheetlike" samples and "three-dimensional molded articles" (see paragraph 3 of the prior Office Action).

Applicant argues that the cited references do not disclose or suggest generating resonance patterns as a function of sample concentration or as a function of excitation frequency, and using the patterns for identifying substances in real time, as recited in the amended clam 2. Examiner disagrees. Scott, for example, teaches means for real time determination of chemical process parameters in [0007] and [0267]. The reference also discloses a method when a sample is placed in a resonant cavity to measure a frequency shift pattern as a function of an "external microwave frequency source ['excitation frequency ' – Ex.] that can be swept across the resonance with and without the sample in the cavity"(see [0066]).

3

Application/Control Number: 10/637,127

Art Unit: 1743

Page 4

As to the implied hindsight, it must be recognized that any judgement on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based on upon hindsight reasoning, but so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, such a reconstruction is proper. *In re McLaughlin, 443 F. 2d 1392; 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).*

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Natalia Levkovich whose telephone number is 571-272-2462. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8 a.m.-4p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/637,127 Page 5

Art Unit: 1743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/ Vili Warden Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700